



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/618,971	971 07/14/2003		Richard J. Dibbs	17306/107	5927	
26646	7590	03/15/2005		EXAMINER		
KENYON		ON	VAN, QUANG T			
ONE BROADWAY NEW YORK, NY 10004				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3742 DATE MAILED: 03/15/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/618,971	DIBBS, RICHARD J.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Quang T Van	3742		

	Quang T Van	3742	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>11 February 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS		•	
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amend condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The</li> <li>The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date</li> </ol>	a Notice of Appeal. To avoid aban ment, affidavit, or other evidence, v al fee) in compliance with 37 CFR e reply must be filed within one of t	donment of this applic which places the appl 41.31; or (3) a Reque	ication in st for Continued
b) The period for reply expires 5 months from the maining date of this A		in the final rejection, wh	ichever is later. In
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or CTWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origon than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
NOTICE OF APPEAL	and but arious to the data of filing an	annual brief. The Ne	tion of Annual
2.  The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appewas filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 4 Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 Chas been filed, any reply must be filed within the time per AMENDMENTS	1.37 must be filed within two month CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal o	ns of the date of filing	the Notice of
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ocauso
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in betappeal; and/or	••	educing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rei	iected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	-	, • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		•	,
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ill be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: <u>50-56,86-97 and 100</u> .			
Claim(s) rejected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>98 and 99</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio			
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	in or the states of the statille arter o	ina ja bolow or access	
11.  The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	No(s)	
13.   Other: The amended claims 98-99 have deleted the phra	ase "a preheater configured to pre	heat the in-shell egg"	
Ball et al (US 6,455,094) reference still meet the claimed limitat	tions, for example, such as (h) a co	ooler configured to co	ol the in-shell
egg (see Ball's reference, col. 14, lines 35-48).		Quantla	'an
	•	Quang T Van	
	*	Primary Examiner	

Art Unit: 3742